

Agency	District	Summary
Bay Transit	Hampton Roads	Expressed support for operating Alternative 1 as it suits the door-to-door service model of many rural transit providers
Four County Transit	Bristol	Supports the proposed changes as the agency has focused on cost control and have not felt it has worked out fairly in the current formula for the agency.
Virginia Transit Association	Statewide	Asked for average increase and decrease as compared to the current operating formula and DRPT provided comparisons.
Williamsburg Area Transit Authority	Hampton Roads	Asked if consultant had run proposed outcomes for the agency and DRPT provided those figures.
Loudoun County	Northern Virginia	Asked for clarification of proposed funding as compared to what the agency's own data showed and DRPT provided clarification.
Virginia Municipal League	Statewide	Stated that the changes met the statutory requirements for review of the program, but expressed a desire for additional time and opportunities to consult with TSDAC and other stakeholders.
Virginia Association of Counties	Statewide	Expressed a desire to hold transit agencies harmless for lost revenue due to the program changes as without an increase in total funding, gains by some will result in losses for others that may impact service
OmniRide	Northern Virginia	Expressed "outright objection" due to – the exclusion of transit operators in the process; attempting to treat each agency the same; policy goals are unclear, changing, and flawed.
Coalition for Smarter Growth	DC-MD-VA	Requests that more time be allowed to review and provide input.
DASH (Alexandria)	Northern Virginia	Request a delay in the consideration of changes due to – the accelerated process has prevented analysis and informed feedback, limited ability of local stakeholders to be partners in design.
County of Fairfax	Northern Virginia	Stated the review process has resulted in as many questions as answers and it is unclear what it is trying to reward and may be

		beneficial to spend more time discussing the modifications. Encouraged additional time to review effects of changes.
Virginia Transit Association	Statewide	Joint letter with CTAV that requests delay in consideration of changes to allow for additional analysis and public engagement. State that the current process has been “unnecessarily rushed,” and has resulted in “confusion” and a “lack of transparency” and “uncertainty.” Asked to utilize allotted time allowed by Virginia Code to fully understand the failures of the current formula.
Arlington County	Northern Virginia	Requested a delay in consideration of the changes to allow for additional analysis and engagement. Stated the current operating formula has been “unnecessarily rushed” and has resulted in “confusion” and a lack of understanding of the real impacts of the changes. Urges a delay to allow continued examination of proposed changes.