

I-495 American Legion Bridge Transit and TDM Study

Stakeholder Meeting #3

October 16, 2020

MARYLAND DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION





Meeting Agenda

- Introductions
- Study Updates
- Refinement Process Results
- Prioritization- Next Steps
- Breakout Sessions Zoom Rooms!
- Meeting Wrap Up







Team Introductions



MARYLAND TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

MARYLAND DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION



Study Team

AMERICAN LEGION BRIDGE TRANSIT/TDM STUDY

• DRPT

- Jennifer Debruhl
- Grant Sparks
- Todd Horsley
- Ciara Williams (Study Manager)

• MDOT/MTA

- Kate Sylvester
- Zachary Chissell (Agency Contact)
- Elizabeth Kreider (Study Manager)
- James Ritchey
- Gladys Hurwitz
- Kari Snyder

Consultant Team

- Melissa DuMond (Study Manager)
- Paul Elman
- Erin Murphy
- Lucas Muller
- Steve Weller
- Grace Daigle
- Andrew Wainwright
- Andrew Zalewski





Study Stakeholders

1-495 AMERICAN LEGION BRIDGE TRANSIT/TDM STUDY



MARYLAND DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION





What Progress has been made on the I-495 American Legion Bridge Transit/TDM Study?





Study Purpose

Identify a range of potential current and future multimodal solutions that might be implemented to:

- Reduce congestion
- Improve trip reliability and regional connections
- Enhance existing and planned multimodal mobility and connectivity



Identified Project Needs

AMERICAN LEGION BRIDGE

- Providing congestion relief in the corridor, including the bridge
- Accommodation of future regional growth
- New mobility choices to service travel between Virginia and Maryland
- Solutions to address dispersed travel demand
- Broader awareness about affordable and viable commuting options
- Technology to support real-time decision making and flexible travel patterns





Study Horizon

The potential recommendations will be grouped into three implementation **horizons**:

- Near-Term: Prior to the opening of the Managed Lanes up to and over the Bridge in both Maryland and Virginia
- Mid-Term: In conjunction with the opening of the Managed Lanes up to and over the Bridge in both Maryland and Virginia
- Long-Term: Following opening of the Managed Lanes





Timeline









Project Updates

- Managed Lanes StudyI-495 NEXT
- Updates from Stakeholders







Process

REFINEMENT PROCESS







Initial Transit Screening Objectives

- Ensure potential recommendations meet the needs of the study
- Focus on directly serving travel over the bridge
- Do not overlap or duplicate with existing/planned efforts
- Identify high origin-destination demand connections





Initial Transit Screening Results

Screened Out Routes:

AMERICAN LEGION BRIDGE

TRANSIT/TDM STUDY

| Insufficient I | ravei Demand Along Corridor | | | |
|----------------|---|--|--|--|
| Tuesona | White Flint | | | |
| Tysons | White Oak (via Silver Spring) | | | |
| | Frederick | | | |
| | Germantown | | | |
| Reston/ Dulles | Gaithersburg | | | |
| | Silver Spring | | | |
| | White Oak | | | |
| Not Competit | Not Competitive with Existing Transit Service | | | |
| Tysons | Friendship Heights | | | |
| Dunn Loring | Silver Spring | | | |
| | Rockville | | | |
| Arlington | Bethesda | | | |
| Anington | Silver Spring | | | |
| | White Oak | | | |
| Indirect Acce | ess to Managed Lanes | | | |
| Tysons | Rockville | | | |
| Reston | Rockville | | | |
| Dunn Loring | Rockville | | | |

- Routes Retained:
 - Bethesda Tysons
 - Germantown Tysons
 - Silver Spring Tysons
 - Gaithersburg Tysons
 - Frederick Tysons
 - Bethesda Reston
 - Bethesda Dunn Loring
 - Frederick Arlington



Transit Testing and Evaluation

- Comparative rankings of the 8 retained routes
- Off-model analysis to assess transit demand
- Iteration on:

AMERICAN LEGION BRIDGE TRANSIT/TDM STUDY

- Alignments and stop locations
- Interim stops vs. direct service
- Destination routing
- Managed lanes access



Scoring Methodology

AMERICAN LEGION BRIDGE TRANSIT/TDM STUDY

-49

- Routes scored relative to each other based on nine metrics
- Triple weighting assigned to overall potential ridership

| Category | Metric | Description |
|--------------|------------------------------|--|
| | Cost per Trip | Operating costs (assume \$152 per revenue hour) divided by trips. |
| Productivity | Trips | Number of trips per day on route. |
| | Boardings per Hour | Number of boardings divided by daily revenue hours. |
| | Capital cost per Boarding | Total fleet costs divided by trips. |
| Fauity | Minority Population Served | Percent of population which identifies as non-white within 3 miles of a stop. Only estimated for production side of peak direction trips. |
| Equity | Low-Income Population Served | Percent of population below the federal poverty line within 3 miles of a stop. Only estimated for production side of peak direction trips. |
| | Total Jobs Served | Total number of jobs within $\frac{1}{2}$ mile of a stop. Only estimated for attraction side of a trip. |
| Connectivity | Population Served | Total population within 3 miles of a stop. Only estimated for production side of a trip |
| | Transit Connectivity | Total number of weekday transit trips that operate within 0.1 miles of a stop. |

| Metric | Bethesda - Reston |
|--|----------------------|
| Cost/Trip | 0.77 |
| Trips | 2 |
| Boardings/Hour | 13 |
| Capital Costs/ Boardings | 0.74 |
| Minority Population | 37% |
| Low-Income Population | 8% |
| Total Jobs | 110,092 |
| Total Population | 35,073 |
| Peak Transit Trips (Transit Connectivity) | 3,500 |
| Final Rank | #10 |



Transit Assumptions

Transit Demand

AMERICAN LEGION BRIDGE

- Number of trips produced within 3 miles of a stop (origin) and attracted to locations within 0.5 miles of a stop (destination)
- Potential ridership 5% transit mode share for all trips
- Costs:
 - Operating costs **\$152 per revenue hour**
 - Capital costs \$600,000 per peak vehicle required
- Operating Speeds
 - Highway 45 miles per hour
 - Local roads 15 miles per hour



2a: Bethesda - Tysons

- Trip Direction: Bi-Directional
- Number of Trips (One Direction): 6 / 9
- Assumed Headway (Peak): 60 / 40 minutes
- Prelim. Ridership (2021/2045): 256 / 298
- Evaluation:

| Metric | 2a-East | 2a-West |
|--|---------|---------|
| Boardings/Hour | 21 | 17 |
| Cost/Trip | \$7.12 | \$9.18 |
| Total Boardings | 256 | 298 |
| Capital Costs/ Boardings | \$4,682 | \$4,024 |
| Minority Population | 33% | 34% |
| Low-Income Population | 6% | 6% |
| Total Jobs | 142,346 | 156,535 |
| Total Population | 42,009 | 44,569 |
| Peak Transit Trips (Transit Connectivity) | 2,182 | 1,846 |
| Final Score | 8.01 | 8.13 |
| Final Rank | #4 | #2 |



3a: Germantown - Tysons

- Trip Direction: Peak Direction Only
- Number of Trips (One Direction): 6 / 9
- Assumed Headway (Peak): 60 / 40 minutes
- Prelim. Ridership (2021/2045): 187 / 246
- Evaluation:

| Metric | 3a-East | 3a-west |
|--|----------|---------|
| Boardings/Hour | 16 | 14 |
| Cost/Trip | \$9.74 | \$11.12 |
| Total Boardings | 187 | 246 |
| Capital Costs/ Boardings | \$12,810 | \$9,756 |
| Minority Population | 58% | 58% |
| Low-Income Population | 12% | 12% |
| Total Jobs | 80,157 | 94,346 |
| Total Population | 26,605 | 26,605 |
| Peak Transit Trips (Transit Connectivity) | 2,739 | 4,007 |
| Final Score | 6.71 | 7.67 |
| Final Rank | #7 | #5 |



5a: Silver Spring- Tysons

- Trip Direction: Peak Direction Only
- Number of Trips (One Direction): 6
- Assumed Headway (Peak): 60 minutes
- Prelim. Ridership (2021/2045): 136
- Evaluation:

| Metric | 5a-West |
|-----------------------------|---------|
| Boardings/Hour | 11 |
| Cost/Trip | \$13.45 |
| Total Boardings | 136 |
| Capital Costs/ Boardings | \$8,851 |
| Minority Population | 65% |
| Low-Income Population | 18% |
| Total Jobs | 94,346 |
| Total Population | 34,330 |
| Peak Transit Trips (Transit | |
| Connectivity) | 2,302 |
| Final Score | 6.69 |
| Final Rank | #8 |



5c: Gaithersburg- Tysons

- Trip Direction: Peak Direction Only
- Number of Trips (One Direction): 9 / 6
- Assumed Headway (Peak): 60 / 40 minutes
- Prelim. Ridership (2021/2045): 201 / 264
- Evaluation:

| wiethc | DC-EdSI | oc-west |
|--|----------|---------|
| Boardings/Hour | 11 | 11 |
| Cost/Trip | \$13.59 | \$13.80 |
| Total Boardings | 201 | 264 |
| Capital Costs/ Boardings | \$11,919 | \$9,079 |
| Minority Population | 55% | 55% |
| Low-Income Population | 12% | 12% |
| Total Jobs | 80,157 | 94,346 |
| Total Population | 61,074 | 61,074 |
| Peak Transit Trips (Transit Connectivity) | 2,403 | 3,836 |
| Final Score | 6.88 | 8.05 |
| Final Rank | #6 | #3 |





6: Frederick – Tysons West

- Trip Direction: Peak Direction Only
- Number of Trips (One Direction): 4
- Assumed Headway (Peak): 90 minutes
- Prelim. Ridership (2021/2045): 65
- Evaluation:

| Metric | 6 |
|-----------------------------|----------|
| Boardings/Hour | 5 |
| Cost/Trip | \$28.00 |
| Total Boardings | 65 |
| Capital Costs/ Boardings | \$18,423 |
| Minority Population | 40% |
| Low-Income Population | 16% |
| Total Jobs | 94,346 |
| Total Population | 32,284 |
| Peak Transit Trips (Transit | |
| Connectivity) | 1,601 |
| Final Score | 3.74 |
| Final Rank | #11 |



7g: Bethesda to Reston

- Trip Direction: Bi-Directional
- Number of Trips (One Direction): 2
- Assumed Headway (Peak): 180 minutes
- Prelim. Ridership (2021/2045): 77
- Evaluation:

| Metric | 7g |
|--|---------|
| Boardings/Hour | 13 |
| Cost/Trip | \$11.85 |
| Total Boardings | 77 |
| Capital Costs/ Boardings | \$7,795 |
| Minority Population | 37% |
| Low-Income Population | 8% |
| Total Jobs | 110,092 |
| Total Population | 35,073 |
| Peak Transit Trips (Transit Connectivity) | 3,500 |
| Final Score | 5.82 |
| Final Rank | #10 |



8g: Bethesda to Dunn Loring via Tysons

- Trip Direction: Bi-Directional
- Number of Trips (One Direction): 12
- Assumed Headway (Peak): 30 minutes
- Prelim. Ridership (2021/2045): 347
- Evaluation:

| Metric | 8g |
|--|---------|
| Boardings/Hour | 14 |
| Cost/Trip | \$10.50 |
| Total Boardings | 347 |
| Capital Costs/ Boardings | \$6,908 |
| Minority Population | 38% |
| Low-Income Population | 8% |
| Total Jobs | 174,125 |
| Total Population | 49,880 |
| Peak Transit Trips (Transit Connectivity) | 1,922 |
| Final Score | 8.56 |
| Final Rank | #1 |
| | |





9a: Frederick to Arlington

- Trip Direction: Peak Direction Only
- Number of Trips (One Direction): 6
- Assumed Headway (Peak): 60 minutes
- Prelim. Ridership (2021/2045): 184
- Evaluation:

| Metric | 9a |
|--|----------|
| Boardings/Hour | 8 |
| Cost/Trip | \$19.83 |
| Total Boardings | 184 |
| Capital Costs/ Boardings | \$13,044 |
| Minority Population | 46% |
| Low-Income Population | 13% |
| Total Jobs | 55,415 |
| Total Population | 67,442 |
| Peak Transit Trips (Transit Connectivity) | 2,330 |
| Final Score | 6.04 |
| Final Rank | #9 |



Transit Preliminary Ranking

- **1.** Bethesda to Dunn Loring via Tysons Corner
- 2. Bethesda to Tysons West
- 3. Gaithersburg to Tysons West
- 4. Bethesda to Tysons East
- 5. Germantown to Tysons West
- 6. Gaithersburg to Tysons East
- 7. Germantown to Tysons East
- 8. Silver Spring to Tysons West
- 9. Frederick to Arlington
- 10. Bethesda to Reston
- 11. Frederick to Tysons West





Initial Technology Screening Results

- All Strategies Passed Initial Screening
- Methodology
 - Metrics
 - Readiness
 - Capital Cost
 - Annual O&M





Technology Testing and Evaluation

Results

| Name | Technology Readiness | Cost Rank (1 = Lowest) | Capital Cost Estimate (2020 \$) | Annual O&M Costs (2020 \$) |
|--|-------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Real-Time Toll and Transit Information | Low | 1 | \$180,000 – \$330,000 | \$22,000 -\$44,000 |
| VA Commuter Parking Information System | Medium | 2 | \$350,000 -\$760,000 | \$28,000 - \$60,000 |
| Real-Time Passenger Load Information | Low | 3 | \$440,000 – \$850,000 | \$74,000 - \$174,000 |
| Real-Time Transit Arrival Information | High | 4 | \$500,000 – \$980,000 | \$36,000 - \$75,000 |
| Transit Signal Priority | Medium | 5 | \$1,090,000 - \$1,910,000 | \$74,000 - \$144,000 |
| MD Commuter Parking Information System | Medium | 6 | \$1,160,000 - \$2,540,000 | \$111,000 - \$240,000 |





1-495 AMERICAN LEGION BRIDGE TRANSIT/TDM STUDY

Commuter Assistance Options Initial Screening

• Metric:

Measurable impact to the corridor beyond existing/ongoing efforts

Screened Out Programs:

Supportive Regional/Local Ongoing Efforts

Incorporating TDM Strategies into local development ordinances

Carpool Promotion (CarpoolNow)

First/last mile infrastructure, services, and wayfinding

Programs Retained

- Corridor-Specific Mobility Options Marketing Campaign
- Targeted Residential Outreach
- Targeted Employer Outreach
- Vanpool Formation and Expansion Program
- Corridor-Specific HOV Incentive
- Personalized and Dynamic TDM Technology (RM3P and MWCOG efforts)





Commuter Assistance Programs - Assumptions

- Corridor-Specific Mobility Options Marketing Campaign
 - Ads at stations and on buses
 - Digital, Radio/TV, Print

AMERICAN LEGION BRIDGE

- Coordinated with rollout of commuter bus service
- Targeted Residential Outreach
 - Focused on high-density residential areas
 - Funds 2 staff positions (employer TBD) one VA and one MD focused
- Targeted Employer Outreach
 - Focused on high-density employment areas
 - Funds 2 staff positions (employer TBD) one VA and one MD focused





Commuter Assistance Programs - Assumptions

- Vanpool Formation and Expansion Program
 - Financial incentive to start new vanpools and retain existing ones
 - Includes administrative and support resources
- Corridor-Specific HOV Incentive
 - Short-term financial benefit to try a new mode (car/vanpool, transit) along the corridor
 - Could be implemented using existing or planned mobile platform



Scoring Methodology and Evaluation

- TDM Return on Investment Calculator
 - Assumptions for participation and costs
 - Based on regional survey data and existing programs
 - Outputs estimates for:

AMERICAN LEGION BRIDGE TRANSIT/TDM STUDY

- Daily Vehicle Trips Reduced
- Daily Vehicle Mile Traveled (VMT) Reduced
- Each option scored independently in this phase





-495 AMERICAN LEGION BRIDGE TRANSIT/TDM STUDY

Commuter Assistance Programs - Results





All results shown in this presentation are draft

Prioritization – Next Steps

- Refine Managed Lanes Assumptions
 - Congestion-free ride from I-370 across the ALB to the express lanes in VA
 - Construction timeframes for the investments
 - Near-term (before or during construction) investments
- Recommendation Refinement
- Develop Cost Packages

AMERICAN LEGION BRIDGE TRANSIT/TDM STUDY

- Timeframes
- Funding sources/availability





Recommendation Refinement

- Transit
 - Iteration based on modeling efforts
 - Route refinement
- Parking and Facilities
 - Identify needs for parking and bus facilities based on proposed services
- Commuter Assistance Programs
 - Identify cumulative impact of strategies and transit
- Sensitivity Testing
 - How would different growth patterns or travel levels affect potential recommendations?





Breakout Sessions







Breakout Session Agenda

- Sensitivity to Regional Change
- Transit Routes Discussion
- Commuter Assistance Options Discussion



Breakout Groups

• Breakout Room 1

AMERICAN LEGION BRIDGE

TRANSIT/TDM STUDY

- Amanda Bahrij
- Melissa DuMond
- Paul Elman
- Gladys Hurwitz
- Beth Kreider
- Ciara Williams
- Kristen Blackmon
- Michael Felschow
- Allan Fye
- Courtney Glass
- Abi Lerner
- Anna Nissinen
- Kerri Oddenino
- Ben Owen
- Jonathan Parker
- Patrick Reed
- Joe Stainsby
- Yuqing Xiong

- Breakout Room 2
 - Chris Arabia
 - Zach Chissell
 - Grace Daigle
 - Erin Murphy
 - Kari Snyder
 - Grant Sparks
 - Andy Zalewski
 - Sandy Brecher
 - Bob Brown
 - Kirk Dand
 - Dan Goldfarb
 - Zach Khromal
 - Jim Larsen
 - Elizabeth Mann
 - Philip McLaughlin
 - David Metcalf
 - Carol Rubin
 - Charlie Scott

Breakout Room 3

- Jennifer DeBruhl
- Todd Horsley
- Lucas Muller
- Heather Murphy
- Jim Ritchey
- Andrew Wainwright
- Fatemah Allahdoust
- Stuart Boggs
- Chris Conklin
- Gary Erenrich
- Dinah Girma
- Dan Hibbert
- Ria Kulkarni
- Holly Morello
- Penny Newquist
- Doug Pickford
- Nick Ramfos



Sensitivity to Regional Change

- What does travel across The Bridge look like in 2 years?
- What does it look like in 25 years?

AMERICAN LEGION BRIDGE TRANSIT/TDM STUDY

• What if 20% of commuters telework moving forward?

https://www.virginiadot.org/travel/commuter-survey.asp



VDOT Permanent Count Station Data – January – September 2020 – Northern Virginia & Statewide Urban Interstate Traffic – All Vehicles in PM Peak

District Northern Virginia Statewide

Traffic Volume Change by District 20% Percent Change from Last Year w Mar -20% -40% -60% Tue 12/24/19 Mon 12/30/19 Sun 01/05/20 Sat 01/11/20 Thu 01/23/20 Wed 01/29/20 Tue 02/04/20 Sun 05/10/20 Sat 05/16/20 Fri 05/22/20 Mon 02/10/20 Sun 02/16/20 Fri 04/10/20 Thu 04/16/20 Sun 06/21/20 Sat 06/27/20 Fri 01/17/20 Thu 03/05/2(Wed 03/11/2(Tue 03/17/20 Vion 03/23/2(sun 03/29/2(Sat 04/04/20 Ved 04/22/20 Thu 05/28/20 Wed 06/03/20 Tue 06/09/20 Vion 06/15/2 Fri 08/14/20 Thu 08/20/2 Ved 08/26/2 Tue 04/28/2 10n 05/04/2 iun 08/02/2 Sat 08/08/2 Tue 09/01/2 Sat 02/22/2 Fri 02/28/2 Fri 07/03/2 Tue 07/21/2 10n 07/27/2 5 un 09/13/2 Sat 09/19/2 Fri 09/25/2 10/00 V0/2/2 Thu 07/09/ Ved 07/15/



Apple Mobility Trends, September 21, 2020

Mobility Trends

Change in routing requests since January 13, 2020





Congestion on I-495 at ALB – 9/11/2020 at 3:30 PM





Transit Routes

- Discuss Transit Options
 - Routes Structure
 - Stops
 - Frequency
 - Access
 - Additional iteration on interim stops versus direct service
 - Test midday service
- How can technology assist in bolstering transit?



1-495 AMERICAN LEGION BRIDGE TRANSIT/TDM STUDY

Commuter Assistance Options

- How can we ensure that the recommendations turn into programming?
 - What are the logistical challenges?
 - Extending existing regional programs (eg. RM3P) to include I-495 corridor
- How do we make strategies applicable across such a broad study area?
 - Regional programs vs. individual agencies
- How do vanpool/carpool patterns that might be observed in the short-term integrate into long-term transit routes?



Meeting Wrap Up





Recap of Breakout Room Discussion

MARYLAND DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION





Next Stakeholder Meeting

- Fill out survey
 - Watch your inbox for the link to upcoming Survey #2
 - Help distribute Survey #2 to your stakeholder groups
- Save the date for Stakeholder Meeting #4
 - December 3, 2020

Keeping track of <u>the Study</u> is easy by connecting to DRPTs Major Initiatives Webpage

• The study webpage includes a <u>stakeholder comment link</u>